

# The Economic Challenges Facing Young Adults A Demos Briefing Kit



Strapped: Why America's 20- and 30-Somethings Can't Get Ahead by Tamara Draut (Doubleday, 2006) Although Americans of all ages have endured the economic and social changes of the post-industrial era, today's young people are the first to experience its full weight as they try to start their adult lives. But the challenges facing young adults also reflect the failure of public policy to address the changing realities of building a life in the 21st century. As America's new grads and young workers are trying to get into the middle class, they're being hit by a one-two punch: the economy no longer generates widespread opportunity and our public policy is not picking up the slack, much less planning for longterm economic challenges.

> For more information or to book an interview with Tamara Draut: Timothy k. Rusch Director of Communications Demos: A Network for Ideas & Action (212) 389-1407 (p) (212) 633-2015 (f) Email trusch@demos.org

Phone: 212.633.1405 Fax: 212.633.2105 info@demos.org www.demos.org

#### Contents

ACCESS TO HIGHER EDUCATION	3
PAYCHECK PARALYSIS	5
CREDIT CARD DEBT	7
HIGH COST OF HOUSING	9
STARTING A FAMILY	11
POLITICAL PARTICIPATION	13

#### ABOUT THE ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITY PROGRAM

The Economic Opportunity Program addresses the widespread economic insecurit and declining opportunity that characterizes American society today. Our efforts focus on envisioning and ensuring the future middle class by promoting new ideas in the areas of higher education, income and asset-based policy. Our work examining the growth of personal debt among low- to middle-income households is indicative of the new challenges Americans face as they try to get by-let alone get ahead.

#### ABOUT DEMOS

Demos is a non-partisan public policy research and advocacy organization. We are committed to building an America which achieves its highest democratic ideals—a nation where democracy is robust and inclusive, with high levels of electoral participation and civic engagement; an economy where prosperity and opportunity are broadly shared and disparity is reduced; and a strong and effective government with the capacity to plan for the future.

Founded in 2000, Dēmos' work combines research with advocacy—melding the commitment to ideas of a think-tank with the organizing strategies of an advocacy group.

# ACCESS TO HIGHER EDUCATION

In today's knowledge-based economy, a college degree is a necessary qualification for entry to the middle class. In response to this economic reality, more young people than ever before are enrolling in college. Despite record enrollment, too many college-qualified high school graduates are not planning to attend college at all, attending community college, or are enrolling in but not completing college simply because they cannot afford it. Most of those who finish school, and many who do not, are also finding themselves saddled with a debilitating level of student loan debt.

- Today, nearly three-quarters of high school graduates enroll in some type of college after high school.<sup>1</sup> However, most are failing to complete their studies. Less than a third of young adults aged 25 to 29 had a bachelor's degree or higher in 2003—a percentage that hasn't risen nearly as fast as enrollments.<sup>2</sup>
- Inflation-adjusted tuition at public universities has nearly tripled since 1980, up from \$1,758 in 1980 to \$5,132 in 2004.<sup>3</sup>
- Thirty years ago, the average cost (tuition, fees, room and board) of attending a *private* college in 1976-77 was \$12,837 annually, in inflation-adjusted dollars. Today, the average cost of attending a *public* university is \$11,354. In other words, in 2006 the burden of affording a state college today is equivalent to that of paying for a private college in the 1970s.<sup>4</sup>
- Every year, 410,000 college-qualified students from households with incomes less than \$50,000 enroll in community college instead of going to a four-year college. Another 168,000 college-qualified students don't enroll in college at all.<sup>5</sup>

- The maximum Pell Grant award—the nation's premier program for helping poor kids pay for college—covers about one-third of the costs of a four-year college today. It covered nearly threequarters in the 1970s.<sup>6</sup> But only 22 percent of Pell grant recipients get the maximum award<sup>7</sup>—the average award in 2003 was \$2,421.<sup>8</sup>
- The federal government spent \$81 billion in financial aid for the 2003-2004 school year. But 70 percent of this aid is in the form of loans, while grant aid only makes up 21 percent.<sup>9</sup>
- Student loans and grants are often not enough to cover the cost of higher education. Three-quarters of full-time college students are working and nearly half work twenty-five hours or more a week.<sup>10</sup>
- Within five years of entering college, 40 percent of students from the top socioeconomic quartile will earn a four-year degree as compared to only 6 percent of students in the lowest quartile.<sup>11</sup> Over a quarter of white students who enter college will earn a bachelor's degree, whereas only about 15 percent of black and Hispanic college students will complete their degrees.<sup>12</sup>

Between the years of 2000 and 2015, the college-age population is expected to grow by 16 percent.<sup>13</sup> This generation will be more ethnically diverse, better prepared for college, and more likely to need financial aid. By 2015, 43 percent of the college-age population will be nonwhite, and students from low-income families will represent an increasing proportion of high school students.<sup>14</sup>

We need to change our policies and provide opportunities for all students who want to attend higher education, not only the ones whose families can afford it. America needs bold new efforts to increase access to higher education.

Create a **Contract for College** that would unify the existing strands of federal financial aid—grants, loans, and work-study—into one guaranteed financial aid package for students. Grants would make up the bulk of aid for students from low- and moderate-income families. The **Contract for College** will recognize the important value of reciprocity, so part of each student's contract will include some amount of student loan aid and/or work-study requirement. Families should have early knowledge of the financial resources available to their children for college. At the start of the program, all students in the 8th grade and above will receive their **Contract for College** that estimates their aid package using the average cost of attendance at public 4-year institutions.

1 Richard D. Kahlenberg, editor, America's Untapped Resource: Low-Income Students in Higher Education, Lawrence E. Gladieux, "Low-Income Students and the Affordability of Higher Education," (New York: Century Foundation Press, 2004), p.22.

2 Nicole Stoops, *Educational Attainment of the United States: 2003*, Current Population Reports P20-550, (Washington DC: U.S. Census Bureau, June 2004).

3 College Board, "Trends in College Pricing 2004," 2004. Available at <u>http://www.collegeboard.com/prod\_downloads/press/</u> cost04/041264TrendsPricing2004\_FINAL.pdf.

4 College Board, College Pricing, 2004.

5 Empty Promises: The Myth of College Access in America (Washington, DC: Advisory Committee on Student Financial Assistance, June 2002).

6 Richard D. Kahlenberg, editor, America's Untapped Resource: Low-Income Students in Higher Education, Lawrence E. Gladieux, "Low-Income Students and the Affordability of Higher Education," (New York: Century Foundation Press, 2004), p.22.

7 "2002-2003 Title IV/Federal Pell Grant Program End-of-Year Report." U.S. Department of Education, Office of Post-Secondary Education. Table 3A.

8 The College Board. "Trends in Student Aid 2003." 2003.

9 College Board, "Trends in Student Aid 2004," 2004. Available at http://www.collegeboard.com/prod\_downloads/press/ cost04/TrendsinStudentAid2004.pdf.

10 Tracey King and Ellyne Bannon, "The Burden of Borrowing: A Report on the Rising Rates of Student Loan Debt," State PIRGS Higher Education Project, March 2002. The authors analyzed data from the Department of Education's 1999-2000 National Postsecondary Student Aid Survey.

11 Richard D. Kahlenberg, ed., America's Untapped Resource: Low-Income Students in Higher Education (New York: Century Foundation Press, 2004), p. 22.

12 National Center for Education Statistics, Trends in Undergraduate Persistence and Completion, 2004.

13 Empty Promises: The Myth of College Access in America (Washington, DC: Advisory Committee on Student Financial Assistance, June 2002)

14 Population growth estimates from US Census Bureau, 2004, "US Interim Projections by Age, Sex, Race, and Hispanic Origin."

# PAYCHECK PARALYSIS

Job security and stability was a defining characteristic of the U.S. labor market from the 1950s to the mid-1970s. Today, young workers can no longer expect to work at a company with the intention of staying until retirement. Job instability is the new reality.

Despite the pervasive misperception that young adults are not succeeding because they lack the work ethic possessed by the Baby Boomer generation, the reality is that young workers today are working multiple jobs and longer hours than the Baby Boomers did in their 20s and 30s. They're losing economic ground because their paychecks are not growing as rapidly as their basic living expenses.

- In 1972, the typical male high school graduate in the 25 to 34 age group earned just over \$42,000 in inflation-adjusted dollars. In 2002, the same group was earning just over \$29,000.1
- In 1972, a young adult male with a bachelor's degree or higher earned on average \$52,087 in 2002 dollars. In 2002, young male college grads earned \$48,955.<sup>2</sup>
- At the same time that most jobs have seen average wages decline over the last 30 years, there has been astonishing wage growth in some of the top-paying positions. This asymmetric growth has led to increased income inequality. In 1975, the average income of young adults in the top fifth was about five times as great as the average income of young adults in the bottom fifth; in 2003 it was 11 times greater.<sup>3</sup>
- This inequality goes beyond earnings. In 1987, 68 percent of 25 to 34 year-olds had employer-based health insurance; in 2003, this figure was down to 61 percent.<sup>4</sup> Young adults make up the single largest group of uninsured America—18 million and counting.<sup>5</sup>

- In 1974, 44 percent of workers in the private sector were in a defined benefit pension plan. Today, only 17 percent are in such plans.<sup>6</sup> In 2000, just under 50 percent of all private sector workers were covered by any sort of pension, including 401(k) plans.<sup>7</sup> About 73 percent of those in the top quintile of earners had a pension plan, as compared to only 18 percent of those in the bottom quintile.
- As companies have shifted their focus from stability to profits in recent years, they have increasingly contracted out services as a way to cut labor costs. During the 1990s, the number of jobs handled by temp agencies more than doubled, growing from just under 1 million jobs to over 2 million jobs by the end of the century.<sup>8</sup>
- Today, contingent workers, or Tempsters, make up 16 percent of the workforce.<sup>9</sup> Tempsters earn less than they would if they were doing the same job on a permanent basis.<sup>10</sup> They are also much less likely to have health or pension benefits. <sup>11</sup> Nearly half of all contingent workers would prefer a permanent fulltime job. <sup>12</sup>

There is a fundamental tension that exists in the American ideal of college for everyone and the reality that the largest growth in jobs will be in the low-wage sector of the economy. These are the jobs that don't demand bachelor's degrees and often require little more than a few days of on-the-job training. Among the largest growing occupations over the next ten years will be jobs in health services like medical assistants, personal home and health care aides, as well as the higher-paying jobs in the field like registered nurses. The same job growth trends are happening in the teaching field. Over the next decade, there is robust growth projected in both the low-end—paraprofessionals, also known as teaching assistants or aides—and the high-end, K through 12 teaching positions. The fact that in two major occupational categories—teaching and the health professions—both low- and high-wage job growth is projected over the next decade signals an opportunity to design formal career ladders in these fields. Local and state initiatives that have proven effective in moving people up the professional ladder in these occupations should be scaled up.

- Several successful examples of career ladders exist, including programs that help teaching assistants become teachers and nursing assistants advance to registered nurses. These **programs should be scaled up** through increased federal funding and nationalized standards.
- An apprenticeship, or career ladder program, in the health and teaching professions would address the reality that most young adults cannot return to school full-time and would recognize that many must enter the labor force rather than attend four-year college.
- Because not all jobs are ripe for carrer ladders, such as those in the ever-growing food and retail industry, reforms are needed to remove barriers to unionization. The Employee Free Choice Act, introduced in Congress in 2003, would mandate that employers recognize and authorize the formation of a union when a majority of employees have signed union cards.

1 The National Center for Education Statistics, based on data from US Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Current Population Survey, March Supplement, 1972-2003.

2 Ibid

3 Unpublished data. Calculations conducted for author using US Census Bureau, Current Population Survey data.

4 U.S. Census Bureau, "Income, Poverty, and Health Insurance Coverage in the United States: 2003," Table C-2, "Health Insurance Coverage by Age: 1987-2003."

5 Ibid

6 Employee Benefit Research Institute, "The Decline of Private Sector Defined Benefit Promises and Annuity Payments: What Will it Mean," EBRI Notes, Vol. 25, No. 7, July 2004.

7 Economic Policy Institute, "Retirement Security: Facts at a Glance," February 2003. Accessed online August 27, 2004 at http://www.epinet.org/content.cfm/issuesguides\_retirement\_facts.

8 Stephen P. Bercham, "ASA's Annual Economic Analysis of the Staffing Industry," The American Staffing Association, 2002. Available at http://www.staffingtoday.net/staffstats/annualanalysis03.htm

9 "Contingent Workers: Incomes and Benefits Tend to Lag Behind those of the Rest of the Workforce, Table 1," GAO/HEHS-0076, (Washington DC: United States General Accounting Office, June 30, 2001). Available at http://www.gao.gov/archive/2000/ he00076.pdf.

10 Arne L. Kallenberg, Barbara F. Reskin and Ken Hudson, "Bad Jobs in America: Standard and Nonstandard Employment Relations and Job Quality in the United States," *American Sociological Review* 65, 2 (April 2000) pp 256-278.

11 Steven Hipple, "Contingent Work: Results from the Second Survey," Monthly Labor Review, November 1998, pp 22-35.

12 Bureau of Labor Statistics, Contingent and Alternative Employment, Table 10, 2001.

# **CREDIT CARD DEBT**

When young people start out on their own, either after graduating from college or when they get their first apartment, the need for credit is in a whole new category: survival debt. For young twenty-somethings who can't turn to mom and dad for start-up money, launching their adult lives often entails going deep into credit card debt. With substantial debt already built up from college, many young adults can get tangled in a debt spiral they most likely never saw coming—one that threatens their ability to manage the costs of day-to-day living as they embark on adulthood, and can have long-term deleterious effects on asset building, supporting a family or saving for retirement. As wages dropped or stagnated during the 1980s and 1990s, more and more people turned to credit cards to stay afloat. The new demand for credit cards was easily met by a hungry credit card industry. Deregulation of the credit card industry created an environment where credit card companies have had unfettered ability to define, and arbitrarily change, the terms, rules and practices of the credit card agreement—all without the kind of meaningful regulation that fairly balances obligations and fees between America's households and other lending industries. Today, Americans have \$800 billion in credit card debt.

- Seven out of 10 young adults with credit cards regularly have debt on their cards that they don't pay off each month, compared to just over half of all households.<sup>1</sup>
- In 1983, median consumer debt for 25 to 34 year-olds was \$3,989 (in 2001 dollars). <sup>2</sup> By 2001, the median consumer debt for households under 35 had tripled to \$12,000.<sup>3</sup>
- The average 25 to 34 year-old spends nearly 25 cents of every dollar of income on debt payments—more than double what baby boomers of the same age spent on debt payments in 1989.<sup>4</sup>
- By 2001, nearly 12 out of every 1,000 young adults aged 25 to 34 were filing for bankruptcy, a 19 percent increase since 1991.<sup>5</sup> Young adults now have the second highest rate of bankruptcy, just after those aged 35 to 44.

- College students are a prime target for credit card issuers, and the marketing onslaught has paid off. In 2002, the average college senior had six credit cards and an average balance of just over \$3,200.<sup>6</sup> One in five students has credit card debt between \$3,000 and \$7,000.
- A set of Supreme Court decisions al-• lowed national banks to charge their credit card customers the higher interest rate and fees permitted in the bank's home state—as opposed to the rate in the state in which the customer resides.<sup>7</sup> As a result, regional and national banks moved their operations to more states with little regulatory oversight, such as South Dakota and Delaware, where there were no laws limiting the amount of interest banks could charge for credit card loans. Since then, states began loosening their own usury laws, and 29 states have no limit on credit card interest rates.8

- High rates and fees that were once considered usurious are now just profit for the card companies. The average late fee is now \$32, which in 2004 provided \$10 billion in revenue for the card companies.<sup>9</sup>
- The credit card industry has become increasingly consolidated, with the top 10 card issuers controlling nearly 90 percent of the market.<sup>10</sup> The credit card industry earns \$2.5 billion in profits each month.<sup>11</sup>

Too few young people are able to save for their future and instead are moving in the opposite direction—toward long-term burdens of personal debt, often at very high interest rates. A rising tide of credit card debt is threatening young adults' shot at the American Dream. To deal with slow growth in wages, prolonged unemployment and higher prices for housing, gasoline and other essentials, more young people are financing their early years on credit. Re-regulation of the lending industry is necessary to curb widespread abusive lending practices that strip income and wealth from young adults.

A **Borrower's Security Act** would address the most egregious and abusive lending practices of the credit card industry. Credit card companies now routinely triple or quadruple the interest rate for a tardy payment or for any payments made late to other creditors. If card companies want to raise the interest rate, the new rate should only apply to future purchases on the card—as opposed to retroactively applying the new rate to the existing balance.

The **Borrower's Security Act** should also get the credit card companies off our college campuses.

1 Tamara Draut and Javier Silva, "Generation Broke: The Growth of Debt Among Young Americans," (New York: Demos, 2004). Available at http://www.demos-usa.org/pubs/Generation\_Broke.pdf.

2 Robert B. Avery, Gregory E. Elliehausen and Glenn B. Canner. "Survey of Consumer Finances, 1983: A Second Report." Federal Reserve Bulletin, December 1984. Available at http://www.federalreserve.gov/pubs/oss/oss2/83/bull1284.pdf.

3 Ana M. Aizcorbe, Arthur B. Kennickell and Kevin B. Moore, "Recent Changes in U.S. Family Finances: Evidence for the 1998 and 2001 Survey of Consumer Finances," *Federal Reserve Bulletin*, January 2003. Available at http://www.federalreserve.gov/pubs/oss/oss2/2001/bull0103.pdf.

4 Tamara Draut and Javier Silva, "Generation Broke: The Growth of Debt Among Young Americans," (New York: Demos, 2004). Available at http://www.demos-usa.org/pubs/Generation\_Broke.pdf.

5 Teresa A. Sullivan, Deborah Thorne and Elizabeth Warren, "Young, Old, and In Between: Who Files for Bankruptcy?," Norton Bankruptcy Law Advisor, Issue No. 9A, September 2001.

6 Nellie Mae Corporation, "Undergraduate Students and Credit Cards: An Analysis of Usage Rates and Trends," April 2002. Available at http://www.nelliemae.com/library/ccstudy\_2001.pdf.

7 Vincent D. Rougeau, "Rediscovering Usury: An Argument for Legal Controls on Credit Card Interest Rates," University of Colorado Law Review, Winter 1996.

8 Lucy Lazarony, "States with Credit Card Caps," Bankrate.com, March 20, 2002. Available at http://www.bankrate.com/brm/ news/cc/20020320b.asp.

9 "Card Fees 2003," Cardweb.com. Available at http://www.cardweb.com/cardtrak/news/2003/july/18a.htmlCardweb.com

10 Robert D. Manning, Credit Card Nation: The Consequences of America's Addiction to Credit, (New York: Basic Books), 2000 and Frontline: The Secret History of the Credit Card, PBS. Accessed online at http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/pages/frontline/shows/credit/more/marketshare.html, March 17, 2005.

11 Patrick McGeehan, "Soaring Interest Compounds Credit Card Pain for Millions," New York Times, November 21, 2004.

# HIGH COST OF HOUSING

Once they leave home, many young people realize that they need to move to a major city to launch a career. Sadly, many people find that the rent charged for apartments is rarely in alignment with what their salaries can afford. Between 1995 and 2002, rents in nearly all of the largest metropolitan areas rose astronomically. Median rents in San Francisco ballooned 76 percent; Boston, 62 percent; San Diego, 54 percent; even median rent prices in less costly Denver shot up by 49 percent.<sup>1</sup>

Once an essential first step for many young families starting out, home ownership has become financially unfeasible for many young people today. With dwindling salaries and starter homes priced out of reach, the dream of owning one's own home remains elusive for many young people.

- In their struggle to find an affordable place to live, many young adults are moving back in with their parents. According to the Census Bureau, most young adults do not leave home until age 24,<sup>2</sup> and the percentage who move back home at least once after being on their own is much higher: four out of 10 young adults detour back to the nest at least once.
- In 2002, the median percent of pre-tax income young adults spent on rent was 22 percent, up from 17 percent in 1970. Rising rents, particularly in central cities, has resulted in a higher percentage of young adults who spend more than 30 percent of their income on housing—the standard threshold of "affordability." In 2000, one-third of young adults aged 25 to 34 spent more than 30 percent on rent—up from less than one-fifth in 1970.<sup>3</sup>
- Since the 1970s, the amount of time it takes for young first-time homebuyers to save for a down payment has steadily increased. What took the previous generation two years now takes nearly four years.<sup>4</sup>
- Gen Xers housing debt is 62 percent higher than it was for baby boomers at the same life-stage.<sup>5</sup>
- Home ownership rates for young house-holds aged 25 to 34 began declining in the 1980s, falling from 52 percent in 1980 to 45 percent in 1990.<sup>6</sup> Although the 1990s witnessed the largest national gain in the home ownership rate since the 1950s, for young households the home ownership rate simply stabilized, holding steady at 45 percent in 2000. The only age group that showed positive gains in home ownership in the 1990s was the 65 and older group.<sup>7</sup>

Overall, today's young adults are hitting the marker of home ownership later in life and paying a greater portion of their income for it than their parents did. One of the significant impediments toward purchasing a first home is the difficulty of saving enough money for a down payment. The low percentage value of down payments is why so many young families find themselves overextended in a mortgage. Combine these challenges with existing low levels of asset accumulation and it becomes clear that several types of new policies are needed to help young Americans become stakeholders in our society.

- The mortgage deduction should be limited so that it provides incentives for home ownership without making the deduction yet another tax boon for the wealthy.
- The federal government should develop a matched savings program that would help young Americans and other low-income families save toward a down payment on a home. First-time homebuyers earning less than \$50,000 should receive a \$1 for \$1 tax credit for money they save toward a down payment.

1 John M. Quigley and Steven Raphael, "Is Housing Unaffordable? Why Isn't It More Affordable?," Journal of Economic Perspectives, 18, 1 (Winter 2004), pp. 191-214.

2 Dara Duguay, "For New Graduates: How to avoid Returning to the Nest," Consumers Research Magazine, No.3, Vol. 86, March 1, 2003, p. 19.

3 Author's calculations of US Census Data, 1970-2000, provided by Integrated Public Use Microdata Series.[http://www.ipums. org]

4 Christopher J. Mayer and Gary V. Englehardt, "Gifts, Down Payments, and Housing Affordability," *Journal of Housing Research*, 7, 1 (1996) pp. 59-77.

5 David Myron, "Home Equity Debt Soars," American Demographics, November 1, 2004, p.9.

6 Dowell Myers, "Advances in Homeownership across the States and Generations: Continued Gains for the Elderly and Stagnation Among the Young," (Washington DC: Fannie Mae Foundation), Census Note 08, October 2001. 7 Myers, 2001.

### **STARTING A FAMILY**

Most parents with children under the age of five are in their late twenties or early thirties—making the issue of affordable and quality child care a core concern for young families. When most couples today decide to start a family, they are accustomed to having two full-time incomes to help pay the bills. After a child is born, however, they will have to deal with a reduction in income as one parent cuts back on work to stay with the child for at least the first three months.

Besides struggling with foregone income, parents today have to contend with the high cost of raising children. Married couples with children, heavily burdened with increasing costs, are twice as likely as childless couples to file for bankruptcy. These couples are also more likely to be late paying bills and to lose their homes to foreclosure.

- In 1970, 19 percent of first births were to women aged 25 and older; by 2000 this percentage had increased to over 50 percent. Today, the average age at which a woman has her first child is 25, up from 21 in 1970.<sup>1</sup>
- According to the USDA, having a child under age two today costs a middle-income couple about \$800 a month, about 18 percent of their pretax income. A family with two children under age five will have to deal with costs of nearly twice that amount.<sup>2</sup>
- For middle-income families, the cost of raising a child born in 1960 to age 18 was \$155,141 (in 2003 dollars). In 2003, the cost rose to \$178,590, a 15 percent increase caused mainly by health care and child care expenses.<sup>3</sup> Today, the average two-parent family with two children under age five spends 11 percent of their budget on child care, up from only 1 percent in 1960. Paying for a child's medical care now requires 7 percent of the monthly budget, up from 4 percent in 1960.<sup>4</sup>
- About 60 percent of working families nationwide with children under age five pay for child-care, at a cost of \$325 per month on average.<sup>5</sup>
- Federal, state and local governments pay 39 percent, and businesses and foundations cover only 1 percent, of child-care costs.<sup>6</sup> A federal tax credit allows families to claim as a

deduction up to 35 percent of their childcare costs. The maximum credit for families with two or more children is \$2,100. However, most families get a credit of less than  $$1,000.^7$ 

- The biggest source of investment in child care is the federally funded Child Care and Development Fund (CCDF), whose sole purpose is to subsidize the cost of child care for low-income parents. Even though the federal government allocated \$10 billion to the CCDF in 2003, the sum was only enough to cover one out of seven children in families eligible for the child-care subsidy.<sup>8</sup>
- According to several studies, most child-care in this country is of poor to mediocre quality.<sup>9</sup> The average wage for child care providers is only \$7.86 per hour, which results in high turnover and a dearth of well-qualified providers.<sup>10</sup>
- In 1993, Congress passed the Family and Medical Leave Act (FMLA), which requires employers with 50 or more employees to provide up to twelve weeks of *unpaid* leave to care for a newborn or adopted child, or to care for a seriously ill family member. However, 45 percent of U.S. workers do not qualify for the Family and Medical Leave Act because they work for small businesses with less than 50 employees.

For the last three decades, our nation has rejected a coordinated national system of child-care, from infant care to pre-kindergarten programs. Even though numerous studies show that providing access to quality early learning and care would save the government money in the long-run, the United States has no official family or child-care policy. Despite evidence that employees who have access to family-friendly policies are better workers, most major corporations do not do much to foster good parental behavior.

The United States government must join the international community by supporting new families rather than turning its back on them. Experts estimate the cost of providing universal pre-kindergarten and improved toddler care at \$50 billion to \$75 billion per year. The federal government currently spends about \$15 million on child-care, and states spend about \$4 billion.<sup>11</sup>

- Business and government could combine their resources by establishing an
  *American Family Trust* to fund the creation and maintenance of paid parental leave
  and universal child-care and education. The key for a well-trained future workforce is
  good early childhood education.
- **Paid parental leave** is critical to ensure young parents have the financial flexibility to stay home with a newborn child. The United States should mandate that one month of leave be taken or forgone.

1 Catalina Amuedo-Dorantes and Jean Kimmel, "The Motherhood Wage Gap for Women in the United States: The Importance of College and Fertility Delay," *Review of Economics of the Household*, Vol. 3, Issue 1, 2005, pp. 17-48.

2 Mark Lino, Expenditures on Children by Families, 2003, Washington DC: US Department of Agriculture, Center for Nutrition Policy and Promotion, No. 1528-2000, 2003. Available at http://www.usda.gov/cnpp/Crc/Crc2000.pdf. Estimates for middle-in-come husband-wife couple with before-tax income between \$40,700 and \$68,400 (average = \$54,100).

3 Mark Lino, *Expenditures on Children by Families*, 2000, Washington DC: US Department of Agriculture, Center for Nutrition Policy and Promotion, No. 1528-2000, 2000. Available at http://www.usda.gov/cnpp/Crc/Crc2000.pdf.

4 United States Department of Agriculture. Expenditures on Children by Families. 2000 and 2003 Annual Report.

5 Linda Giannarelli and James Barsimantov, "Child Care Expenses of America's Families,' Washington DC: Urban Institute, Occassional Paper Number 40, December 2000.

6 The Ewing Marion Kauffman Foundation, "Families Pay More for Early Education than for College," *Financing Child Care,* Winter 2002, p 4.

7 Suzanne W. Helburn and Barbara R. Bergmann, America's Child Care Problem, New York: Palgrave, 2002.

8 Children's Defense Fund, Key Facts 2003.

9 Jessica Brauner, Bonnie Gordic and Edward Zigler, "Putting the Child Back into Child Care: Combining Care and Education for Children Ages 3-5," *Social Policy Report*, Volume XVIII, Number III, Ann Arbor: University of Michigan, Society for Research in Child Development, 2004.

10 Current Data on Child Care Salaries and Benefits in the United States, 2002 Edition," Washington DC: Center for the Child Care Workforce, 2004.

11 Suzanne W. Helburn and Barbara R. Bergmann, America's Child Care Problem, New York: Palgrave, 2002.

# POLITICAL PARTICIPATION

Compared to the previous generation, today's 20- and 30-somethings are markedly less engaged in politics. The decline in political engagement among young adults can be at least partially attributed to the strong legacy of Reagan-era conservative rhetoric, a turning point in what appears to be a 30-plus year campaign to shrink government "down to the size where you could drown it in a bathtub." Reagan's message to the American people was a simple one: "...government is not the solution to our problem. Government is the problem." Coming of age in an era marked by such an ideology has resulted in a generation that views government and related institutions with distrust and blames their economic struggles on their own decisions or missteps.

- In a 2002 poll, half of all Baby Boomers said they followed politics and government "most of the time," compared to just 37 percent of Gen Xers (born between 1965 and 1981) and only a quarter of Millennials (born after 1981).<sup>1</sup>
- Sixty-one percent of Gen Xers believe the statement "politicians and political leaders have failed my generation."<sup>2</sup>
- In 1972, young voters aged 18 to 29 years old made up a third of the entire voting age population. By 2000, the eligible young voter population represented only a fifth of all eligible voters.<sup>3</sup>
- Since 1972, voter turnout in presidential elections has declined by about four percentage points. But among 18 to 25 year-olds, it has declined by 15 percentage points, a drop-off of nearly a third.<sup>4</sup> Even among the under-30 population, there's been a 12 percent decline in voter turnout between 1972 and 2000.<sup>5</sup>
- Some studies show that 70 percent of young people volunteer in their communities—more than any other generation.<sup>6</sup> Volunteering and other forms of engagement are praiseworthy, but they cannot take the place of political engagement as a means of generational empowerment.

There are several effective election reform strategies that would greatly facilitate young people's political participation. Chief among them is Election Day Registration (EDR), also known as "same-day voter registration." EDR permits eligible citizens to register and vote on election day—a process that ensures young people, who move frequently, will be able to vote. Currently, six states have EDR. They boast voter turnout 8-15 percentage points higher than the national average, and report few problems with fraud, costs or administrative complexity. EDR significantly increases the opportunity to cast a vote and participate in American democracy.

In addition to election reform, there are several steps young adults can take to get involved and prompt leaders to focus more attention on issues of concern to their generation. Most importantly, young adults should **read a major newspaper regularly**—either on the Web or in print. There are also numerous websites, listed at <u>www.</u> <u>strappedthebook.com</u>, that young adults can regularly access to learn more about the issues most important to them.

It is important for young adults to be familiar with decisions being made each day in Congress and in state capitals that affect the economic struggles of 20- and 30-somethings. Information is essential to reversing young adults' political retreat. Surely once they are informed about the issues that directly affect their lives, today's 20- and 30somethings will become more participative and force important policy changes.

- 1 The Civic and Political Health of the Nation: A Generational Portrait. CIRCLE. September 19, 2002.
- 2 Ted Halstead, "A Politics for Generation X," The Atlantic Monthly, August 31, 1999.
- 3 The Center for Information and Research on Civic Learning and Engagement (CIRCLE), "The 2004 Presidential Election and Young Voters," October 28, 2004.
- 4 Peter Levine and Mark Hugo Lopez, "Youth Turnout Has Declined by Any Measure," The Center for Information and Research on Civic Learning and Engagement (CIRCLE), September 2002.

5 Mark Hugo Lopez and Carrie Donavan, "Youth and Adult Voter Turnout from 1972-2002, "The Center for Information and Research on Civic Learning and Engagement (CIRCLE), Undated Factsheet.

6 Michael DeCourcy Hinds, "Youth Vote 2000: They'd Rather Volunteer," *Carnegie Reporter*, Vol. 1, No. 2, Spring 2001. Available at http://www.carnegie.org/reporter/02/vote2000/index.html.