

Demos 2008 ELECTION PRIMER PICTION ADMINISTRATION VOTING DIGUTE & LEGAL OF

ELECTION ADMINISTRATION, VOTING RIGHTS & LEGAL CHALLENGES

*This is a work in progress and will be updated on a weekly basis.

For more information or to schedule an interview, contact:

Timothy Rusch, Director of Communications, Demos trusch@demos.org 212.389.1407

Issue	Comments	Litigation	Documentation	Where to Watch	Resources	Contacts Info
Provisional balloting	Many provisional ballots are ultimately rejected in states with restrictive rules on their validation. ules on their validation. The expected record turnout in November, combined with high numbers of new voters, could lead to a record number of provisional ballots being cast.		Forthcoming Dēmos report; Advancement Project, Provisional Voting: Fail-Safe Voting or Trapdoor to Disenfranchisement; Dēmos, A Fallible 'Fail-Safe', An Analysis of Provisional Balloting Problems in the 2006 Election; Election Law @ Moritz, Key Questions for Key States.	Fourteen states rejected over 50% of provisional voters cast in 2006 general election: AL, AR, DE, HI, IL, IN, KY, MI MO, Ok, SD, VA. Rejection rates highest in DE (84%), MI (80.9%) and KY (92%). FL, MI, MO and OH don't count provisional ballots cast by voters in wrong precinct.	Dēmos, Advancement Project, Moritz School of Law at Ohio State University	Scott Novakowski, Dēmos; Eddie Hailes, Advance- ment Project; Ned Foley and Dan Tokaji, Moritz
Surge in registration of low-income voters	A national campaign to require states to re-implement voter registration at public assistance, as required by federal law, has led to thousands of new registrations there.	ACORN v. Scott* (W.D. Mo.) http://www.demos.org/ pub1576.cfm Harkless v. Brunner* (N.D. Ohio) http://www.demos.org/pubs/ 9.21.06%20OH%20NVRA%2 0Complaint.pdf *Dēmos involved in litigation.	Dēmos, Expanding Voter Registration for Low-In- come Citizens: How North Carolina is Realizing the Promise of the National Voter Registration Act; Unequal Access: Neglect- ing the National Voter Registration Act, 1995- 2007	Missouri, North Carolina, Michigan, Virginia	Dēmos, Project Vote	Scott Novakowski, Brenda Wright and Lisa Danetz, Dēmos; Brian Mel- lor, Project Vote

Election Day Registration	Nine states allow individuals to register and vote on the same day. Election Day Registration states historically lead the nation in voter turnout. They also allow for on-the-spot correction of registration errors. Ohio allowed Same Day Registration for absentee voters from September 30 through October 6.	State ex rel. Colvin v. Brunner* (Ohio Supreme Court) http://demos.org/generatePub. cfm?pubID=1594 Project Vote v. Madison County Board of Elections* (N.D. Ohio) http://www.demos.org/ pub1596.cfm Ohio Republican Party v. Brunner* (6th Cir.) http://www.demos.org/pubs/ 6th%20Cir.%20Opinion.pdf *Dēmos involved in litigation.	Dēmos, Voters Win with Election Day Registration; Election Day Registration, A Study of Voter Fraud Allegations and Findings on Voter Roll Security	Iowa, North Carolina and Montana will offer Same Day Registration in presidential election for the first time this year. Election Day Registration also available in New Hampshire, Maine, Wisconsin, Minnesota, Wyoming, Montana and Idaho. Hamilton County, Ohio prosecutor has subpeonaed personal infromation on hundreds of individuals who registered and voted during the state's absentee voting period, without evidence of any impropriety. Greene County prosecutor dropped a similar investigation.	Dēmos	Stuart Comstock- Gay, Steven Carbó and Regina Eaton, Dēmos
Fraud allegations	The incidence of intentional voter fraud in recent elections is rare. Most instances of alleged "voter fraud" are instead shown to involve faulty voter rolls, pollworker error, mistaken identity and misinformed voters.		Lorraine C. Minnite and Dēmos, An Analyis of Voter Fraud in the United States; Mexican American Legal Defense and Educational Fund, The Myth of Widespread Non-Citizen Voting: A Response to the Heritage Foundation	Indiana, Wisconsin, Nevada, Ohio	Dēmos, Brennan Center for Justice, MALDEF	Prof. Lorraine C. Minnite, Barnard College and Dēmos; Steven Carbó, Dēmos
Election administra- tion and reform	Implementation of new federal voting requirements (Help American Vote Act), upholding voting rights, adequately training poll workers, partisan election administration, future reform	League of Women Voters of Ohio v. Brunner*, http://moritzlaw.osu.edu/electionlaw/litigation/lwv05.php, www.demos.org United States v. Alabama (M.D. Alabama) http://moritzlaw.osu.edu/electionlaw/litigation/alabama.php United States v. New York State Board of Elections (N.D.N.Y.) http://www.usdoj.gov/crt/voting/hava/ny_hava.php Virginia NAACP v. Kane (E.D. VA) *Dēmos involved in litigation.	electionline.org, "Election Preview 2008: What If We Had an Election and Everyone Came?" and "12 States to Watch on November 4." Advancement Project, The End of the Line? Preparing for a Surge in Voter Turnout in the November 2008 General Election	Ohio, Florida. Inadequate allocation of poll workers in Ohio, Virginia and Pennsylvania. Insufficient voting machines in Virginia and Pennsylvania. North Carolina: confusing ballot, which requires presidential choice even if voter chooses straight party-line vote, leads to high presidential undervotes.	Dēmos, Common Cause, Brennan Center for Justice, Lawyers Committee for Civil Rights Under Law, People for the American Way, The Advancement Project	Miles Rapoport, Stuart Comstock- Gay and Steven Carbó, Dēmos; Tova Wang, Common Cause; Wendy Weiser, Brennan Center for Justice; Jon Greenbaum, Lawyers Committee for Civil Rights Under Law; Ned Foley and Dan Tokaji, Moritz; Doug Chapin, electiononline. org; Judith Browne, The Advancement Project

Voter registration	States periodically	USSAF v. Land (E.D. Mich.)	Brennan Center for	Ohio: 200,000 voters may be	Brennan	Wendy Weiser,
databases; improper	update their voter regis-	http://moritzlaw.osu.edu/elec-	Justice, Voter Purges;	challenged at polls or forced to	Center for	Brennan Center for
removal or refusal	tries to remove outdated	tionlaw/litigation/ussafv.land.	Making the List: Database	vote provisional ballots because	Justice; Com-	Justice; Tova Wang,
	voter files. Although	php	Matching and Verification	registration records didn't match	mon Cause;	Common Cause;
to add eligible vot-	federal law provides		Processes for Voter Regis-	government database files; Florida:	Moritz College	Ned Foley, Moritz;
ers to the voter rolls	some protection against	Florida NAACP v. Browning	tration; Common Cause,	ballots cast by over 12,000 newly	of Law, Ohio	Brenda Wright,
	the removal of eligible	(11th Circuit)	Century Foundation,	registered voters in jeopardy be-	State Univer-	Dēmos
	voters, impermissible	http://moritzlaw.osu.edu/elec-	Voting in 2008: Ten Swing	cause voter registration data	sity; Dēmos;	
	voter "purges" persist.	tionlaw/litigation/FloridaN-	States; Election Law @	didn't match motor vehicles, social	Bradley Heard,	
	New risks have devel-	AACPv.Browning.php	Moritz, Key Questions for	security data; Oregon: "no-match"	Advancement	
	oped in recent years		Key States; U.S. PIRG,	standard affects votes for fed-	Project (Michi-	
	with the introduction of		Vanishing Voters: Why	eral office; Georgia: federal court	gan lawsuit);	
	computerized, state-	Accountability Board (Dane	Registered Voters Drop Off	blocks purging of voters based on	Meredith Bell-	
	wide voter registration	County Circuit Court)	the Rolls; Dēmos, Purged!	database mismatches pending pre-	Platts, ACLU	
	databases.	http://moritzlaw.osu.edu/elec-	Will Eligible Voters Be	clearance from US Dept Justice.	Voting Rights	
		tionlaw/litigation/vanhollenv.	Purged From Election	Georgia, Missouri, New Mexico,	Project (Michi-	
		gab.php	Rolls?	Ohio: Vague standards for data	gan lawsuit)	
		Oli - Danullian Danto - Boom		matching. Wisconsin: Attorney		
		Ohio Republican Party v. Brun- ner* (6th Cir.), http://mori-		General filed suit to compel database match of voter registrations		
		tzlaw.osu.edu/electionlaw/		since January 1, 2006. Michigan:		
		litigation/documents/orpvb-		federal judge halts purge of newly-		
		runnerenbanc.pdf		registered voters where voter ID		
		i amierenoane-par		cards returned as undeliverable.		
		Common Cause of Colorado v.		Colorado: Secretary of State re-		
		Coffman (D. CO)		jecting over 6000 new voter regis-		
				tration because voters did not also		
		*Dēmos involved in litigation.		check a box indicating they did not		
				have a state driver's license or iden-		
				tification number; state sued for		
				late purges of about 30,000 voters;		
				county problems in connecting		
				with statewide database problems		
				may slow "check in" of voters at the		
				polls; Wisconsin: state dissolved		
				contract with private databse		
				contractor because of system flaws;		
				New York, Alabama: delayed		
				introduction of new databases		

Voter challenges and caging	Partisan operatives improperly challenge voters' eligibility at the polls. Caging involves list of individuals whose mail was returned as undeliverable. Expect to see challenges of voters involved in home foreclosures.	Montana Democratic Party v. Eaton (D. Mont.), http://moritzlaw.osu.edu/electionlaw/litigation/mdpv.eaton. php Maletski v. Macomb County Republican Party (E.D. Mich.) http://moritzlaw.osu.edu/electionlaw/litigation/maletskiv. macombcrp.php (challenges based on foreclosures) Herring v. Marion County Election Board	Election Protection briefing paper: Michigan Voters and the Home Mortgage Crisis; Common Cause, Century Foundation, Voting in 2008: Ten Swing States, Campaign Legal Center, Vote Caging and the Attorney General; www.866OurVote.org	Michigan, Ohio, Indiana: Recent news of GOP intentions to use foreclosure lists to challenge voters. Virginia: little protection against widespread voter challenges. Montana: federal judge blocks state GOP challenge to registrations of 6,000 voters in counties with high numbers of Democrats. Florida: In caging tactic, McCain campaign targetied older Democrat voters with nonforwardable mailings asking them to "confirm" their non-existent membership in the Republican Party.	Dēmos, Law- yers Commit- tee for Civil Rights Under Law, Brennan Center for Jus- tice, Common Cause, Cam- paign Legal Center	Brenda Wright, Dēmos; Jonah Goldman, Lawyers Committee for Civil Rights Under Law; J. Gerald Hebert, Campaign Legal Center
Deceptive practices and voter intimidation	Intentional dissemination of misinformation and other acts to suppress voting	Escobedo v. Rogers (D NM)	Dēmos press release and joint organizational letter, http://www.demos. org/home.cfm; Testimony of John Trasvina, MALDEF, Senate Judiciary Committee, July 7, 2007, http://www.maldef.org/pdf/Trasvina.S453.Testimony.pdf; Testimony of Ralph Neas, PFAW, House Judiciary Committee, May 7, 2007, http://www.workingfordemocracy.org/testimony/neas_reform.pdf; Election Provacy Information Center, E-Deceptive Campaign Practices Report: Internet Technology & Democracy 2.0.	Pennsylvania: anonymous flier circulated in African-American neighborhoods in Philadelphia and at Drexel University warned that voters with outstanding arrest warrants or unpaid traffic tickets could be arrested at polls on Election Day. New Mexico: anonymous "investigator" harassed newly naturalized citizen at her home, accusing her of voter fraud, threatened to report her to immigration authorities, and made public her personal info. California:private contractor affiliated with GOP dupes Democratic voters into switching party affiliation and changing voting status from in-person to absentee. Texas: Anonymous email erroneously warns that voters voting "Straight Democrat" must also make presidential choice; doing so cancels out presidential vote on eSlate machines. Virginia, Colorado, New Mexico: "Robo" calls with incorrect information were pronounced in 2006. Nevada: Latinos receive bogus phone calls from purported Obama campaign worker asking them to vote by phone.	Lawyers Committee for Civil Rights Under Law, Dēmos, People for the American Way	Brenda Wright, Dēmos; Jonah Goldman, Lawyers Committee for Civil Rights Under Law; Tanya Clay House, People for the American Way; Nina Perales, MAL- DEF; Lillie Coney, EPIC

Voter ID	Some states have adopted very strict voter identification requirement for voters on Election Day. Voters without proper ID must vote provisional ballot and return later with ID for provisional vote to count.	Crawford v. Marion County Election Board (U.S. Supreme Court) http://moritzlaw.osu.edu/electionlaw/litigation/indy-dems. php Gonzalez v. Arizona (D. Ariz.) http://moritzlaw.osu.edu/electionlaw/litigation/gonzalez. php	Election Law @ Moritz, Key Questions for Key States; Common Cause, Century Foundation, Voting in 2008: Ten Swing States	Indiana, Georgia, Colorado, Missouri, Arizona	Brennan Center for Justice, Common Cause, Dēmos, Lawyers Committee for Civil Rights Under Law, ACLU, People for the American Way	Neil Bradley, ACLU; Jonah Goldman, Lawyers Committee for Civil Rights Under Law; Steven Carbó and Brenda Wright, Dēmos; Wendy Weiser, Brennan Center for Justice; Tova Wang, Common Cause; Tanya Clay House, People for the American Way
Third-party voter registration	Some states have imposed onerous restrictions on voter registration drives by third-party organizations.	American Association for Persons with Disabilities v. Herrera (D. New Mexico) http://moritzlaw.osu.edu/electionlaw/litigation/LWVFv-Browning.php League of Women Voters of Florida v. Browning (11th Circuit) http://moritzlaw.osu.edu/electionlaw/litigation/LWVFv-Browning.php	Common Cause, Century Foundation, Voting in 2008: Ten Swing States	Florida, New Mexico, Washington State	League of Women Voters, Brennan Center for Justice, Common Cause	Lloyd Leonard, League of Women Voters; Wendy Weiser, Brennan Center for Justice; Tova Wang, Common Cause
Felon voting rights	5 million citizens unable to vote due to felon disfranchisement laws. Many more disfranchised by widespread public confusion about the law.	Muntaqim v. Coombe* (2nd Circuit) http://www.nvri.org/about/ new_york_state_policies.shtml Farrakhan v. Locke (9th Circuit) http://moritzlaw.osu.edu/ electionlaw/litigation/aadpv. herrara.php Young v. Houseman (Southern District of Mississippi) http://moritzlaw.osu.edu/electionlaw/litigation/youngv. hosemann.php *Dēmos involved in litigation.	The Sentencing Project, Expanding the Vote: State Felony Disenfranchisement Reform, 1997-2008; Brennan Center for Justice, ACLU, De Facto Disenfranchisement	New Mexico: voter registration denied to eligible felons because of faulty Department of Corrections database; Alabama: records from state Board of Pardons and Paroles not built into new voter registration database; registrations rejected for felons who've been pardoned. Florida, Kentucky, and Virginia.	The Sentencing Project, Bren- nan Center for Justice, ACLU, NAACP Legal Defense Fund	Marc Mauer, The Sentencing Project; Ryan Haygood, NAACP Legal Defense Fund; Erika Wood, Brennan Center for Justice; Nancy Abudu, ACLU

Protecting Internet political association		Van Hollen v. Government Accountability Board (Dane County Circuit Court), http://moritzlaw.osu.edu/elec- tionlaw/litigation/vanhollenv. gab.php			Dēmos, ACLU of Southern California	Brenda Wright and Lisa Danetz, Dēmos; Peter Elias- berg, ACLU
Voting machine technology	States continue to struggle with new voting machine technology. Systems glitches and human error cause machine failure, lost ballots, and confusion at the polls. The adequate and fair allocation of voting machines within a state is another important issue to watch.	NAACP-SCP v. Cortes, (E.D. PA)	Common Cause and Verified Voting, Voting at Risk in 2008; Common Cause, Century Founda- tion, Voting in 2008: Ten Swing States	West Virginia: early voters report machines switching their votes to other candidates. Pennsylvania: concerns that insufficient emergency ballots will be on-hand in case of voting machine breakdowns. Florida, New Jersey, New York, Pennsylvania, Michigan, Missouri.	Common Cause, Verified Voting Foun- dation, Voter Action	Tova Wang, Common Cause; Jon Bonifaz, Voter Action
Student voting	Students' legal right to register and vote at cam- pus addresses improp- erly challenged		Brennan Center for Justice, Legal Guide to Student Voting; Com- mon Cause, Century Foundation, Voting in 2008: Ten Swing States; www.866OurVote.org	Texas, Virginia, Colorado, Pennsylvania	Brennan Center for Justice, ACLU, Student Association for Voter Empowerment (SAVE)	Gary Kalman, U.S. PIRG; Wendy Weiser, Brennan Center for Justice; Matthew Segal, SAVE
Accommodating disabled voters			Bazelon Center for Mental Health Law, Vote. It's Your Right; A Guide to the Voting Rights of People with Disabilities	Florida: Secretary of State Kurt Browning has refused to allow Government Accountability Of- fice (GAO) to conduct national voting accessibility survey in his state. Twenty-nine Secretaries of State are permitting the survey to go forward in their states.	American Association of People with Disabilities, National Disability Rights Network, the Bazelon Center for Mental Health Law	Jim Dickson, AAPD
Attacks, threats of violence	Members of Congress have called on the Department of Justice and FBI to investigate violence and threats against voter registration workers.			Ohio: ACORN organizer received email saying "she is gong to have her life ended." Rhode Island: ACORN office received threatening phone call laced with racist language. Massachusetts, Washington State: ACORN offices vandalized in Boston and Seattle. Wisconsin: Obama canvaser mistaken for ACORN worker assaulted. North Carolina: Hecklers harass African Americans using early voting sites in Fayetteville; tires slashed on 30 vehicles at Obama campaign rally.		

Early Voting	Many voters are opting to vote before election day as more states allow no-excuse early voting. Political campaigns are encouraged early voting to avoid problems at the polls on election day. Partisans are wrangling over where to open early voting sites.			Indiana: The Republican Party in Lake County, Indiana filed suit in state court to close early voting sites in Gary, Hammond and East Chicago, three cities with predominantly minority populations. They support just one early voting site in Crown Point, 45 minutes away and predominantly white.		Paul Gronke, Reed College	
Bilingual assistance							
Partisan election administration	Information available in forthcoming update.						

About Dēmos

Dēmos is a non-partisan public policy research and advocacy organization. Headquartered in New York City, Dēmos works with advocates and policymakers around the country in pursuit of four overarching goals: a more equitable economy; a vibrant and inclusive democracy; an empowered public sector that works for the common good; and responsible U.S. engagement in an interdependent world.

About the Democracy Program

The Democracy Program works to strengthen democracy in the United States by reducing barriers to voter participation and encouraging civic engagement. Dēmos supports state and national reform efforts by conducting research on current and long-range issues; advancing a broad agenda for election reform; providing advocates and policymakers with technical support; and strengthening reform networks. Through our recent alliance with the National Voting Rights Institute, we are now able to utilize complementary channels of policy, advocacy and litigation to achieve our goals.

Key Staff/Fellows @ Dēmos

Miles Rapoport, President

Democracy Program

Stuart Comstock-Gay, Director Steven Carbó, Senior Program Director Allegra Chapman, Counsel Lisa Danetz, Senior Counsel Regina Eaton, Deputy Director Scott Novakowski, Senior Policy Analyst Brenda Wright, Legal Director

Fellows

 ${\bf Sasha\ Abramsky}\ ({\it also\ author\ and\ journalist})$

Allison Fine (also online engagement expert)

Keesha Middlemass (also Assistant Professor at Rutgers University-Newark)

Lorraine Minnite (also Assistant Professor at Barnard College)

To contact the above people or any other Dēmos staff member or listed partners, email trusch@demos.org or call 212.389.1407.